START

Signature of EDT

Originator

SEP 09	1992 ENGINEERING	DATA	TRANSMITTAL
- A	→ / 2 */** X		

Page 1 of / 1. EDT 159738

2. To: (Receiving Organization) Record File Environmental Restoration Engineering 4. Related EDT No.: N/A	4. Related EDT No.: N/A					
	7. Purchase Order No.:					
	N/A					
8. Originator Remarks: 9. Equip./Component N	0.:					
Release to Record File N/A						
10. System/Bldg_/Faci	lity:					
N/A						
11. Receiver Remarks: 12. Major Assm. Dwg. N/A	12. Major Assm. Dwg. No.: N/A					
13. Permit/Permit App	lication	No.:				
N/A						
14. Required Response	Date:					
N/A						
(A) (C) (D) Reason ((H) Prigi- F	(I) Receive				
To booth the property of the p	ator	er				
trans- u	ispo- ition	Dispo- sition				
1 WHC-SD-EN-TI-028 0 Small Mammals and Harvester 48						
						
16. KEY						
Impact Level (F) Reason for Transmittal (G) Disposition (H) & (I)						
1, 2, 3, or 4 {see						
(G) (H) 17. SIGNATURE/DISTRIBUTION (See Impact Level for required signatures)	(G)	(H)				
Rea- son Disp. (J) Name (K) Signature (L) Date (M) MSIN (J) Name (K) Signature (L) Date (M) MSIN Rea- son						
2 Cog.Eng. G.C. Henckel Collill Staff 44-55 Central Files 18-04	3					
2 1 Cog. Mgr. R.A. Carlson Ra Call 1/10/19/14-55 EDMC (2) 44-20						
IRA Clearance H4-17	3					

20.
R.A. Carlson

Cognizant/Project
Engineer's Manager

21. DOE APPROVAL (if required)
Ltr. No.



Date Received: 8/13/93/05	INICODRAKTION DELEACE DEGLIECE				
Complete for all Types of Release					
Purpose ID Number (include revision, volume, etc.)					
II Speech or Presentation	[] Reference		WHC-SD-EN-TI-028, Rev.		
[] Full Paper (Check only one	[X] Technical Re		List attachments. PNL#	0000	
[] Summary suffix)	☐ Thesis or Di	ssertation	List accasing PIOL #	8280	
[] Abstract	☐ Brochure/File	er			
E] Visual Aid	[] Software/Da		Date Release Required	······································	
[] Speakers Bureau [] Poster Session	Controlled D	ocument	·		
[] Videotape	[] Other		August 17, 1	992	
Title: Small Mammals and Harve	ester Ants - :	300-FF-1	Unclassified Category UC-N/A	Impact Level 4	
New or novel (patentable) subject matter?	No [] Yes	Information	received from others in confidence, such a	s proprietary data,	
If "Yes", has disclosure been submitted by WHC or o	ther company?		ets, and/or inventions?		
[] No [] Yes Disclosure No(s).		[X] No	Yes (identify)		
Copyrights? [X] No [] Yes		Trademark	F 7		
If "Yes", has written permission been granted?		[X] No	Yes (identify)		
No [] Yes (Attach Permission)					
Title of Conference or Meeting	Complete for Sp		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
N/A		group or	Society Sponsoring		
Date(s) of Conference or Meeting City/s	State			F7	
N/A N/A			proceedings be published?	F 4	
Title of Journal		VVIII	material be handed out?	s [] No	
N/A					
	CHECKLIST	FOR SIGNATOR	TES		
Review Required per WHC-CM-3-4 Yes			ure Indicates Approval		
		Name (printe		<u>Date</u>	
Classification/Unclassified Controlled Nuclear Information	[X]				
Patent - General Counsel [X]		1.111111	Ann Gai	n 8/14/92	
Legal - General Counsel	1 [] <u>50</u>	<u>.vviiitasy</u>	son BOUTHAMS	2) X19/12	
Applied Technology/Export Controlled	1 1 780	Nillam	son Buddlaman	~ 4/4/42	
Information or International Program	[X]				
WHC Program/Project	[X]				
Communications	[X]				
RL Program/Project	[X]				
Publication Services [X]					
A	· <u></u>	Herman	CI. KUID ONLY CHINA NE	umann 8/31/9	
Other Program/Project [] Information conforms to all applicable re	[X]	abaya informa	tion is contified to be connect		
Yes			tion is certified to be correct. ATION RELEASE ADMINISTRATION APPR	OVAL STAND	
References Available to Intended Audience	i i s		before release. Release is contingent upon		
Transmit to DOE-HQ/Office of Scientific and Technical Information					
Author/Requestor (Printed/Signature) Date					
G. C. Henckel Ra Coulson for a	G. C. Henckel Ra Conlor for G.C.H. 8/13/92				
Intended Audience			By County a	1	
[] Internal [] Sponsor [X] Ex	ternal		a 31192		
Responsible Manager (Printed/Signature)	Date		The THE		
R. A. Carlson Racarloon	8/13/92 DE	ate Cancelled	Date Disapprov	red	

တ
n
Ω
O
C
5
⊘ i
-
M
0

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					(1)44	<u> </u>	210-11-0
7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.	Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories	C	LEAR	ANCE OF PUBL	ICATI	ONS AND PRESEN	. 0,0	Rev O
	Type of Release Tecnnical Report	☐ Journal Article ☐ Book or Book Chapter ☐ Thesis or Dissertation ☐ Software Documentation		PNL-8280		Administration will assign)		
	☐ Speech or Presentation ☐ Full Paper			Other Number (e.g., I	NUREGIO TT-O	ca) 128, Rev. O		
•	☐ Summary ☐ Abstract	☐ Instruction Manua ☐ Brochure/Flier	ᅄ	If Previously Cleared,			(JEEAR	ANUE
188	Slides Poster Session	☐ Trade Show Exhib	oit			•		
	CI POSION SEESION			Date Clearance Requi 7-20-92	mad		さいに 中	1992
Complete for all Types of Selense	πα• Small Mammals & Harv	ester Ants i	in the	300-FF-1 O	perab	ole Unit.	RECY	
2	Lead Author Name, Payroll Number, Pl			Other Author(s) Name	•			
dun	ME Thiede, 37944, 2-	1316, K6-13						
ਹ	Project or Program Title			Lead Org. Code / Ed			Client (Four	
	IMPLE, 300-FF-1 RI/F if 1830, Project No. and B&R No.	2		D7D83 [D. If Related Services, T		rez, 6-755\$ P	WE 1830	Contract No.
	•						,	
3 % %	Complete Title of Conference				Gn	oup or Society Sponsoring	•	
Complete for Speech, Anticle, Presentation	Dates of Conference			City/Stare		Will a Proceedings be Put Will you hand out material		☐ Yes ☐ No ? ☐ Yes ☐ No
2 3 4	For a journal sugmission, provide comp	otete title of journal	-					
	Intended Audience			······································				
Complete for Brechure, Filer	Purpose of Publication/Presentation		···	·				
m de de								•
కి 🖁	Quantity Production Cost	How will it be distrib	iuteg?	·				
I HAV	E REVIEWED THE ATTACHED DOCUM	ENT AND HAVE CONS	einepen	ALL OF THE 199909	OHATE 17	The in the August let a	N THE SACK	ASTUR FRAME
Autno			Program M		DIRICI	CHECKET OF CHECKED TO	THE BACK	· Date
ME	Shippe Mc Thide	7/20/92	-	•				
Page	Reviewer		Other					Date
CA	Thiede M. C. Thurk Reviewer Brandt Abraudt	7/2019Z			4	•		
Ecutor	ral Reviewer	, , ,	Reviewers	' Comments (Please in	(CSI)			
	Have P. Harp					uired; report w	ill be g	oublished
[n Manager	/ Carie	by WHO	: who will	clear	through DOE.		
	Westerdarii	Cate	Please	e send final	clea	arance form to:	,	
و ا	P I TVING MM Hon 7/30/92			Lua	ے مصوری معاصر فیا	Thorster P	7-54	_
,	nzed Derivanye Classifier	(Date		 	<u> </u>	L A C.	0	Deline
2	eller 1	7/3/1927	Thank	ω.	<u>الم</u>	acount ON	JL R	بسحين كار
Ì	Unclassified							0AU -
5	Classified This document may contain UCNI			•				
=	Restricted distribution because of:						•	
				_				
	Patent Fleviewer 11/ 2. 9	2/AUG767						
8 1 3 8 1 3	Tall Vi giru	merinan						
Hour Hour	00E-AL	Oste						
	Approved for Release;	Oade A	Attanton o	latent Senunen Thin n	acı imeri	may compan or discusse:		
	Publication Services [M] R.	8/7/95		Manual Avenue Avenue 11112 O		y CURLINIT OF GISCIOSE:		Initials

54-1100-022(10-66)

SUPPORTING DOCUMENT		1. Tot	tal Pages 25 13
2. Title Small Mammals and Harvester Ants in the 300-FF-1 Operable Unit	3. Number WHC-SD-EN-TI-0	28	4. Rev No.
5. Key Words Survey, burrowing rodents, evaluate abundance	6. Cog. Engr. Name: G. C. Her Allum for Signature Organization/Charge		4 7 9 7 1 9 7 7 1 9 7 7 1 9 7 7 1 9 7 7 1 9 7 7 1 9 7 7 1 9 7 7 1
7. Abstract APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE 9/1/92/1. Solus Thiede, M. E., 1992, Small Mammals and Harvester Ar WHC-SD-EN-TI-028, Rev. 0, prepared by Pacific Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washir	Northwest Labora		
8. PURPOSE AND USE OF DOCUMENT - This document was prepared or us within the U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors. It is to be used only to perform, direct, or integrate work under U.S. Department of Energy contracts. This document is not approve for public belease until reviewed. PATENT STATUR - This, document copy, since it is transmitted in advance of pathod clarance, is made available in confidence solel for use in approximance of work under contracts with the U.S. Department. Energy. This document is not to be published not its contents where its disseminated or use in purposes other that specified above before patent approval or such release or use has been secured, upon request, from the Prient Counsil, U.S. Department of Energy Field Office, Richland, WA. DISCLAIMER - This report was prepared as an account of wor sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes an legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, of any third party's use or the results of such use of any information apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its us would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to an specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessaril constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring the United States Government or any agency thereof or it contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of author expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.	OFFICIAL R BY WH DATE SE	C	

9. Impact Level 4

 \bigcirc

WHC-SD-EN-TI-028, Rev 0

CONTENTS

LIST	OF FIGURES AND TABLESiv
SUM	MARY1
1.0	INTRODUCTION
2.0	METHODS
3.0	RESULTS. 8 3.1 SMALL MAMMALS. 8 3.2 HARVESTER ANTS. 8
4.0	DISCUSSION
REFE	ERENCES11
	LIST OF FIGURES
1.	Location of the 300 Area and Hanford Site
2.	Location of Transects Used in Small-Mammal Surveys
3.	Location of Harvester Ant Survey Areas
	LIST OF TABLES
1.	Small-Mammal Species Captured on the Three Transects
2.	Harvester Ant Colonies Near the 300 Area Burial Grounds 8

 \bigcirc

WHC-SD-EN-TI-028, Rev 0

SMALL MAMMALS AND HARVESTER ANTS IN THE 300-FF-1 OPERABLE UNIT

SUMMARY

A survey of burrowing rodents and harvester ants was conducted in the vicinity of the 300-FF-1 Operable Unit during late summer 1991. The predominant rodent in the survey area was the Great Basin pocket mouse (*Perognathus parvus*). Deer mice (*Peromyscus maniculatus*) were more abundant near the riparian vegetation zone. Harvester ants were abundant in all surveyed areas.

Pocket mice and deer mice may contribute to offsite migration of contaminants via consumption of contaminated soils or vegetation, by excavation of buried contaminated soils, or through predation by coyotes and raptors. Harvester ants may contribute to offsite migration primarily through excavation of buried contaminated soils.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Hanford Site in southeastern Washington State (Figure 1) has been placed on the National Priorities List for hazardous waste cleanup. The Site has been divided into "operable units," each of which is being characterized to determine possible routes of exposure to humans and methods for cleanup.

Westinghouse Hanford Company (WHC), as the operations and engineering contractor for the Site, requested that the Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL)¹ conduct surveys of biota at one of the designated operable units--the 300-FF-1 Operable Unit in the 300 Area of the Hanford Site (see Figure 1). The objectives for these surveys were set by the *Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Work Plan for the 300-FF-1 Operable Unit* (DOE 1990) under Task 5a-2--Species Survey, viz.


...to determine what species - which are endangered, threatened, economically important, or constitute significant components of the human food chain - inhabit 300-FF-1. In addition, the use of the operable unit habitat of each such species

¹The Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RL0 1830. Funding for this program was provided by the WHC Environmental Restoration Program.

0

WHC-SD-EN-TI-028, Rev 0

Figure 1. Location of the 300 Area and Hanford Site



identified will be characterized to allow for an assessment of potential biological impacts (DOE 1990, p. SAP/FSP-29).

The survey was required to be qualitative in nature (DOE 1990, p.WP-175) rather than quantitative. A listing of endangered and threatened species potentially associated with the 300 Area was provided in the Work Plan (DOE 1990, p.WP-51).

This report addresses the results of small-mammal and ant surveys completed in Fiscal Year 1991 under Task 5a-2. Small mammals and ants are of interest as they are potential pathways for the offsite migration of contaminants. These surveys were conducted after those reported by Rickard et al. (1990), who addressed the plant, bird, and medium-sized and large mammals inhabiting 300-FF-1.

The purpose of this small-mammal study was to evaluate the composition and relative abundance of species that occur in the vicinity of the 300-FF-1 Operable Unit. The small-mammal species occurring at the Hanford Site have been documented (Rickard et al. 1974), as has the past burrowing activity of small mammals on the 300 Area Burial Ground sites (Fitzner et al. 1979). Burrowing depths for several small mammals found at Hanford were summarized by Gano and States (1982); these depths suggest the potential for movement of contaminated soils to the surface. Cadwell et al. (1983) estimated that various small-mammal species common at arid low-level waste burial grounds have the potential of moving from 0.35 to 8.30 m³ of soil per hectare to the surface each year. Once contaminated soils are at the surface, wind and water erosion and biotic transport factors are important considerations in determining contaminant transport from the waste site.

The purpose of this ant survey was to evaluate the relative abundance of harvester ants that occur in the vicinity of the 300-FF-1 Operable Unit. A previous study by Fitzner et al. (1979) showed that four ant species (*Solenopsis molesta*, *Formica manni*, *F. subpolita*, and *Pogonomyrmex owyheei*) occupy the 300 Area waste sites and adjacent areas. Fitzner found that the harvester ant (*Pogonomyrmex owyheei*) was abundant within the 300 Area. In comparing ant densities inside versus outside the enclosures, he found that the ants seemed to prefer to establish their colonies within disturbed areas such as the 300 Area Burial Grounds.

2.0 METHODS

2.1 SMALL-MAMMAL SURVEY METHODS

Three small-mammal trap-transects were selected outside the waste site perimeter fencing but within the 300 Area Burial Ground Exclusion Zone (300-FF-1 boundary) (Figure 2). The criteria for selection of the trap-transects were their closeness to the waste sites and their similar vegetation.

9

2.1.1 Small-Mammal Transect 1

Located west of the 300 Area Process Trenches, the 500-m Small-Mammal Transect 1 had a series of 50 live-traps placed 10 m apart starting at the northwest end of the fence and continuing south toward the south end of the fence. The trenches compose a narrow band of waste area; the vegetation was described by Rickard et al. (1990) as a bitterbrush-Sandberg's bluegrass community (*Purshia tridentata/Poa sandbergii*). In addition, cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) and Russian thistle (*Salsola kali*) are dominant along the perimeter fence, and grey rabbitbrush (*Chysothamnus nauseosus*) and sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*) are dominant in areas west of the trapline. The substrate and habitat of the trench area and perimeter (gravelly substrate and piles of windblown Russian thistle) differed from the surrounding community (sandy substrate with grey rabbitbrush and Sandberg's bluegrass). Consequently, traps were located along the site perimeter fence to sample rodents representative of the trench habitat.

2.1.2 Small-Mammal Transect 2

Small-Mammal Transect 2 contained 100 live-traps spaced at 10-m intervals in a 10 x 10 grid pattern covering a hectare area with fenced-in waste areas on three sides. The vegetation on this trapping grid was listed by Rickard et al. (1990) as a grey rabbitbrush-cheatgrass community. The soil at this site is sandy. The study site is surrounded by Burial Ground #5 on the north, the process trenches on the west, and the north process pond on the south.

2.1.3 Small-Mammal Transect 3


Small-Mammal Transect 3 was 250 m long with two parallel rows of live-traps, 10 m between trap-rows, and 10 m between traps within rows. This site is between the Columbia River on the east and the east fence of the 300 Area Burial Grounds on the west and may represent populations of small mammals that have access to waste areas along the river. The plant community for this site was characterized by Rickard et al. (1990) as a grey rabbitbrush-cheatgrass community. The first row of traps was placed in a disturbed area between the fence and access road; vegetation there contained a large amount of Russian thistle. The second row of traps was east of the access road in vegetation typical of a rabbitbrush-cheatgrass community.

Sherman live-traps were used in each transect and were baited daily with an oatmeal-peanut butter mix. Each trap was placed inside a sheet metal can slightly larger than the trap and covered with a sheet metal tent to help protect against overheating while the animals were confined. Two trapping sessions were started by an initial baiting on June 6, 1991, and again on July 16, 1991. Each trapping session

In

WHC-SD-EN-TI-028, Rev 0

Figure 2. Location of Transects Used in Small-Mammal Surveys



comprised five consecutive days. When an animal was first captured, it was given an individual identification mark using a permanent felt-marker to facilitate the identification of recaptured mice.

2.2 ANT SURVEY METHODS

Three ant survey areas were established close to the small-mammal trap areas (Figure 3). Areas sampled for ant activity were at least 1 hectare in size and were surveyed for harvester ant colonies on September 30, 1991. The colonies were counted by personnel walking transects across the study area and marking the ant colonies to prevent duplicate counts as all colonies were identified.

2.2.1 Ant Survey Area 1

Ant Survey Area 1 was located south and west of the process trenches and east of an access road. The dimensions of the survey area were 143 m from north to south and 70 m from east to west (see Figure 3). The trenches compose a narrow band of waste area, and the vegetation was described by Rickard et al. (1990) as a bitterbrush-Sandberg's bluegrass community. The survey area is in contrast to the nearby waste area and also includes a sagebrush-grey rabbitbrush-cheatgrass community. The soil at the study site resembles a stabilized sand dune type.


2.2.2 Ant Survey Area 2

Ant Survey Area 2 was a hectare area (100 x 100 m) also identified as Small-Mammal Transect 2. The study site is surrounded by Burial Ground #5 on the north, the process trenches on the west, and the north process pond on the south.

2.2.3 Ant Survey Area 3

Ant Survey Area 3 was the complete area east of the small-mammal trapline between the east perimeter fence and the river (not including the riverbank). The area is southeast of the north process pond and northeast of the south process pond (see Figure 3). Rickard et al. (1990) characterized the vegetation as a grey rabbitbrush-cheatgrass community. Because of the geographic confinement between the fence and the river, the dimensions of the 1.4-hectare study area were trapezoidal, with the narrowest edge (40 m) toward the north and the widest edge (65 m) toward the south. The study area was from 250 m from north to south and included the area within and east of Small-Mammal Transect 3.

Figure 3. Location of Harvester Ant Survey Areas



3.0 RESULTS

3.1 SMALL MAMMALS

Two species of mice were consistently trapped on the three transects: the Great Basin pocket mouse (*Perognathus parvus*) and the deer mouse (*Peromyscus maniculatus*). The other burrowing species captured were Townsend's ground squirrel (*Spermophilus townsendii*) and western harvest mouse (*Reithrodontomys megalotis*) (Table 1). The remaining captures consisted of two young cottontail rabbits (*Sylvilagus nuttalli*).

Table 1. Small-Mammal Species Captured on the Three Transects.

	Number of captures			
Species	Transect 1	Transect 2	Transect 3	
Pocket mouse	15	22	7	
Deer mouse	4	2	5	
Harvest mouse	.0	3	0	
Ground squirrel	0	1	0	

3.2 HARVESTER ANTS

The density of harvester ant colonies at the three study areas ranged from 11 to 53 colonies per hectare (30 colonies/hectare average) (Table 2).

Table 2. Harvester Ant Colonies Near the 300 Area Burial Grounds.

Survey area	Size (ha)	Number of colonies	Density (colonies/ha)
1	1.0	11	11
2	1.0	53	53
3	1.4	37	26

4.0 DISCUSSION

The pocket mouse was the most abundant mammal trapped at 300 Area waste sites (see Table 1); this fact conforms to earlier trapping results (Fitzner et al. 1979). We found that Transects 1 and 2 produced a higher ratio of pocket mouse to deer mouse catches than did Transect 3 along the river. This difference may be attributed to the habitat characteristics of Transects 1 and 2, because they were near or within dry areas containing deep sandy soil and open bunchgrass-cheatgrass areas typical of pocket mouse habitat. Pocket mice do not need a water supply and prefer sandy soil for burrows. Pocket mice tend to stay in their territories, but their estimated home range (O'Farrell et al. 1975) of 503 to 4,005 m² would suggest that the rodent could move across the boundaries of a waste site. The diet of pocket mice consists primarily of dry seeds, which generally concentrate less radionuclides than does the aboveground green vegetation. Pocket mice, however, may indest large amounts of soil when preening and dust bathing, and thereby would ingest soil-adherent. The relatively high density of pocket mice on the site may be a significant factor in resuspension and offsite migration of soil-borne contamination. Therefore, pocket mice should be considered as a potential vector for transport of soil-borne contamination.

Deer mice prefer green vegetation and arthropods, and tend to occupy areas in shrub cover near a water source, as evidenced by the higher percentage of deer mice captured near the river. Deep-rooted plants growing on a burial ground could, if demonstrated to uptake contaminants, provide a pathway for radionuclides and other hazardous material to reach deer mice. Ground squirrels may also be an important link in the potential transport of hazardous materials from the waste site because their diet is dependent on plant material. A study by Fitzner et al. (1979) found no ground squirrels present on the burial grounds. However, we captured one ground squirrel and observed burrowing activity. The number of ground squirrels actually may be greater than observed because they usually are hibernating below ground during the late-summer period when our survey was conducted. If plant uptake of contaminants on waste sites is confirmed, the role of larger, mobile rodents (e.g., ground squirrels) in the food chain pathway would require evaluation.

Predators of small mammals that are known to occur on the 300-FF-1 Operable Unit include coyote (*Canis latrans*), American kestrel (*Falco sparverius*), burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*), Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*), and prairie falcon (*Falco mexicanus*) (Fitzner et al. 1979; Rickard et al. 1990). If future investigations document the uptake of contaminants by small mammals, the role of predators in the transfer pathway would require evaluation.

Unlike those from small mammals, food chain transfers from ants may lead to humans if ants are found to be contaminated. The potential exposure pathway for game birds on the 300-FF-1 Operable Unit, as described by Rickard et al. (1990), shows that contaminated insects (which include ants) could be eaten by game birds. Another route of exposure or movement of contaminants off the site that may be

initiated by harvester ants is resuspension of excavated contaminated soils. The exposed soils then would be exposed to wind and water erosion. Ants may excavate deeply buried wastes and mobilize a large amount of material. Several colonies dug at the Hanford Site averaged a tunnel depth of 2.3 m (Fitzner et al. 1979). Harvester ant colonies in eastern Colorado were estimated to excavate 3.8 kg soil over the average 10-year life of a colony (Rogers 1972).

O

0

WHC-SD-EN-TI-028, Rev 0

REFERENCES

- Cadwell, L. L., W. E. Kennedy, and D. H. McKenzie, 1983, "Evaluating Biological Transport of Radionuclides at Commercial Low-Level Waste Burial Sites," Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Participants' Information Meeting DOE Low-Level Waste Management Program, CONF-8308106.
 - DOE, 1990, Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Work Plan for the 300-FF-1 Operable Unit, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington, DOE/RL 88-31, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland, Washington.
 - Fitzner, R. E., K. A. Gano, W. H. Rickard, and L. E. Rogers, 1979, Characterization of the Hanford 300 Area Burial Grounds. Task IV-Biological Transport, PNL-2774, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
 - Gano, K. A., and J. B. States, 1982, Habitat Requirements and Burrowing Depths of Rodents in Relation to Shallow Waste Burial Sites, PNL-4140, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
 - O'Farrell, T. P., R. J. Olson, R. O. Gilbert, and J. D. Hedlund, 1975, "A Population of Great Basin Pocket Mice, *Perognathus parvus*, in the Shrub-Steppe of South-Central Washington," *Ecol. Mono.*, Vol. 45, No. 1, pp.1-28.
 - Rickard, W. H., J. D. Hedlund, and R. G. Schreckhise, 1974, *Mammals of the Hanford Reservation in Relation to Management of Radioactive Waste*, BNWL-1877, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
 - Rickard, W. H., R. E. Fitzner, and C. A. Brandt, 1990, *Biota of the 300-FF-1 Operable Unit*, EMO-1016/AD-902, Environmental Management Operations, Richland, Washington.
 - Rogers, L. E., 1972, The Ecological Effects of the Western Harvester Ant (Pogonomyrmex occidentalis) in the Shortgrass Plains Ecosystem, IBP Tech, Report 206, Grasslands Biome, Fort Collins, Colorado.